

©

Government of Kerala
കേരള സർക്കാർ
2012



Reg. No. റജി. നമ്പർ
KL/TV(N)/12/12-14

KERALA GAZETTE

കേരള ഗസറ്റ്

PUBLISHED BY AUTHORITY

അധികാരികമായി പ്രസിദ്ധീകൃതമായ

Vol. LVII
വാല്യം 57

THIRUVANANTHAPURAM, TUESDAY
തിരുവനന്തപുരം, ചോദ്യാവസ്ഥ

28th February 2012
2012 ഫെബ്രുവരി 28
9th Phalguna 1933
1933 ഫാൾഗുനം 9

No.
നമ്പർ
9

PART I

Notifications and Orders issued by the Government

Labour and Rehabilitation Department Labour and Rehabilitation (A)

ORDERS

(1)

G . O. (Rt.) No. 76/2012/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 16th January 2012.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between Sri N. Chandrasekharan Nair, Licensee, Toddy Shop No. 45, Muttappally, Mukkoottuthara P. O., Erumeli, Kottayam and the workmen of the above referred establishment represented by the General Secretary, Mundakayam Madya Vyavasaya Thozhilali Union, AITUC, Mundakayam, Kottayam in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order ;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by Section 10 (1) (d) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947), the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Industrial Tribunal, Idukki, Peermade. The Industrial Tribunal will pass the award within a period of three months.

ANNEXURE

1. Whether the dismissal of Sri K. J. Jose, Salesman, Toddy Shop No. 45, Muttappally, Mukkoottuthara P. O., Erumeli, Kottayam by the management is justifiable ?
2. If not, what relief the workman is entitled to?

(2)

G . O. (Rt.) No. 77/2012/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 16th January 2012.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between Sri V. N. Thulaseedharan, Employer, Rahul Nivas, Moolavattom P. O., Kottayam and the workman of the above referred establishment Sri Pradeepkumar, Chettikunnel House, Meenadam P. O., Kottayam in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by Section 10 (1) (d) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947), the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Industrial Tribunal, Idukki, Peermade. The Industrial Tribunal will pass the award within a period of three months.

ANNEXURE

1. Whether the denial of employment to the workman Sri Pradeepkumar by the Employer, Japan Automobiles, Kodimatha, Kottayam is justifiable ?
2. If not, what relief the workman is entitled to ?

(3)

G . O. (Rt.) No. 79/2012/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 16th January 2012.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between Sri V. N. Thulaseedharan, Employer, Rahul Nivas, Moolavattom P. O., Kottayam and the workman of the above referred establishment Sri Renjith, Amala Bhavan, Arumanoor P. O., Kottayam in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by Section 10 (1) (d) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947), the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Industrial Tribunal, Idukki, Peermade. The Industrial Tribunal will pass the award within a period of three months.

ANNEXURE

1. Whether the denial of employment to the workman Sri Renjith by the Employer, Japan Automobiles, Kodimatha, Kottayam is justifiable ?
2. If not, what relief the workman is entitled to ?

(4)

G . O. (Rt.) No. 80/2012/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 16th January 2012.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between Sri V. N. Thulaseedharan, Employer, Rahul Nivas, Moolavattom P. O., Kottayam and the workman of the above referred establishment Sri M. S. Rajesh, Mulavanakunnel, Meenadam P. O., Kottayam in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by Section 10 (1) (d) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Industrial Tribunal, Idukki, Peermade. The Industrial Tribunal will pass the award within a period of three months.

ANNEXURE

1. Whether the denial of employment to the workman Sri M. S. Rajesh by the Employer, Japan Automobiles, Kodimatha, Kottayam is justifiable ?
2. If not what relief the workman is entitled to ?

(5)

G . O. (Rt.) No. 81/2012/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 16th January 2012.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between Sri V. N. Thulaseedharan, Employer, Rahul Nivas, Moolavattom P. O., Kottayam and the workman of the above referred establishment Sri K. S. Prasad, Kannattuprambil House, Kurichi P. O., Kottayam in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication ;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by Section 10 (1) (d) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Industrial Tribunal, Idukki, Peermade. The Industrial Tribunal will pass the award within a period of three months.

ANNEXURE

1. Whether the denial of employment to the workman Sri K. S. Prasad by the Employer, Japan Automobiles, Kodimatha, Kottayam is justifiable ?
2. If not, what relief the workman is entitled to ?

(6)

G . O. (Rt.) No. 82/2012/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 16th January 2012.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between Sri V. N. Thulaseedharan, Employer, Rahul Nivas, Moolavattom P. O., Kottayam and the workman of the above referred establishment Sri Jijimon, Punnamoottil House, Vakathanam P. O., Kottayam in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication ;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by Section 10 (1) (d) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947), the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Industrial Tribunal, Idukki, Peermade. The Industrial Tribunal will pass the award within a period of three months.

ANNEXURE

1. Whether the denial of employment to the workman Sri Jijimon by the Employer, Japan Automobiles, Kodimatha, Kottayam is justifiable ?
2. If not, what relief the workman is entitled to ?

(7)

G . O. (Rt.) No. 83/2012/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 16th January 2012.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between Sri V. N. Thulaseedharan, Employer, Rahul Nivas, Moolavattom P. O., Kottayam and the workman of the above referred establishment Sri Anoop, Manikkattu House, S. H. Mount P. O., Kottayam in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication ;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by Section 10 (1) (d) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947), the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Industrial Tribunal, Idukki, Peermade. The Industrial Tribunal will pass the award within a period of three months.

ANNEXURE

1. Whether the denial of employment to the workman Sri Anoop by the Employer, Japan Automobiles, Kodimatha, Kottayam is justifiable ?
2. If not what relief the workman is entitled to ?

(8)

G . O. (Rt.) No. 100/2012/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 18th January 2012.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between 1. The Headmaster, Government L. P. School, Thalanadu, Kottayam, 2. The President, PTA Committee, Government L. P. School, Thalanadu, Kottayam and the worker of the above referred establishment Smt. Aswathi Thankachan, Kunnumpurathu, Thalanadu, Kottayam in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by Section 10 (1) (d) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Industrial Tribunal, Idukki, Peermade. The Industrial Tribunal will pass the award within a period of three months.

ANNEXURE

1. Whether the denial of employment to Smt. Aswathy Thankachan, Cook, Government L. P. School, Thalanadu, Kottayam by the management is justifiable ?
2. If not, what relief the worker is entitled to ?

By order of the Governor,

R. SASIKUMAR,
Under Secretary to Government.